Ayurveda Center: **AYUSH CELL ROMANIA**
Field of Ayurveda: **SATTVAVAJAYA**
Ayurveda Domain: **NARATOTHERAPY**

NARRATIVE REORDERING OF CONSCIOUSNESS

AYURVEDIC STRUCTURAL FOUNDATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR NARATOTHERAPY AND THE PREVENTION OF SOMATIZATION

Andrei Gămulea

E.A.A. (Educational Advocate of Ayurveda) - Ayush Cell Romania, AMN-Romania, Ayus Grup Romania

Keywords

instrumental consciousness; Antaḥkaraṇa; Ātattvābhiniveśa; Prajñāparādha; Ayurveda; naratotherapy; somatization; narrative reordering

Abstract

This article proposes the concept of narrative reordering of consciousness *as a rigorous theoretical and applied model for understanding and correcting disorganization within instrumental consciousness, grounded in classical Ayurvedic epistemology. Drawing on the structural model of consciousness articulated through the concept of* Antaḥkaraṇa *(Manas, Buddhi, Ahaṃkāra, and Citta), the paper examines how the predominance of Rajas and Tamas undermines the ordering function of consciousness, a process described in Ayurveda through notions such as* Prajñāparādha *(failure of discernment) and* Ātattvābhiniveśa *(fixation in non-truth). It is argued that narrative disorganization constitutes an early yet decisive stage in the causal chain leading from subtle imbalance to somatization. The paper further analyzes the structural role of narrative constructions in stabilizing or destabilizing the Antaḥkaraṇa and proposes narrative reordering as a corrective process compatible with both narrative therapy and Ayurvedic principles of health. Finally, the relevance of the vital forces Vāta, Pitta, and Kapha is discussed in relation to individualized intervention and relapse prevention, outlining an integrative model of health as functional order across consciousness, energy, and body.*

Introduction

Contemporary approaches to consciousness frequently oscillate between phenomenological descriptions of subjective experience and mechanistic models of disease.

Within this landscape, classical Ayurveda offers a coherent conceptual framework that articulates the relationship between consciousness, the structuring of experience, and the emergence of illness without reducing these processes either to purely psychological constructs or to strictly physiological mechanisms.

The present paper introduces the concept of *narrative reordering of consciousness* as a theoretical model for understanding disorganization within instrumental consciousness and for correcting such disorganization through narrative-based interventions consistent with Ayurvedic principles.

The central hypothesis advanced here is that illness represents the final stage of a progressive loss of narrative order at the level of consciousness, and that early intervention at this level has both preventive and therapeutic relevance.

Theoretical Framework: Consciousness as a Functional Structure in Ayurveda

Antaḥkaraṇa as a Structural Model of Consciousness

Ayurveda does not conceptualize consciousness as an amorphous or undifferentiated phenomenon, but rather as a functionally articulated system expressed through the *Antaḥkaraṇa*, composed of Manas, Buddhi, Ahaṃkāra and Citta.

This structural description allows for precise localization of internal disorganization, avoiding vague or global explanations of psychological or psychosomatic distress.

Manas mediates sensory input and attentional processes, Buddhi performs discrimination, evaluation, and decision-making, Ahaṃkāra establishes the sense of identity and ownership of experience and Citta serves as the repository of latent impressions and experiential memory.

Health (*Svastha*) is defined as the coherent and integrated functioning of these components under the guidance of a clear and stable consciousness.

The Guṇa-s and the Loss of the Ordering Function

The predominance of Sattva supports clarity, coherence, and discernment within consciousness, thereby sustaining adaptive self-regulation and integrative cognitive functioning.

By contrast, the increase of Rajas or Tamas disrupts this ordering function, generating agitation, impulsivity, rigidity, or obscuration.

Although the Charaka Saṃhitā does not present a single aphorism explicitly stating that disease arises from the dominance of Rajas and Tamas, this causal relationship is doctrinally consistent throughout the Ayurvedic corpus, particularly through the concepts of Prajñāparādha and dysfunction of Manas.

Conceptual Methodology

This study employs an integrative theoretical methodology designed to articulate a coherent explanatory framework at the intersection of Ayurvedic epistemology, consciousness studies, and narrative-based therapeutic approaches. The methodology prioritizes structural coherence and internal consistency across levels of analysis, in accordance with classical Ayurvedic principles

First, the analysis is grounded in a systematic structural examination of core Ayurvedic concepts, particularly Antaḥkaraṇa (Manas, Buddhi, Ahaṃkāra, and Citta), the Guṇa-s (Sattva, Rajas, and Tamas), and the Doṣa-s (Vāta, Pitta, and Kapha). These concepts are not treated as symbolic or metaphorical constructs, but as functionally differentiated components of a coherent model of consciousness and regulation. Their roles are analyzed in terms of how they contribute to, or disrupt, the ordering function of instrumental consciousness and its capacity for integration, discernment, and self-regulation.

Second, the study establishes a systematic correlation between these Ayurvedic structures and the narrative organization of experience. Narrative is approached as a functional mechanism through which perception, memory, interpretation, and identity are coordinated within consciousness. By mapping narrative processes onto the functional domains of the Antaḥkaraṇa, the methodology allows for precise identification of points at which disorganization arises, stabilizes, or propagates. This correlation provides a conceptual bridge between classical Ayurvedic theory and contemporary narrative-oriented therapeutic practices, without reducing either framework to the other.

Third, on the basis of this structural and correlational analysis, the paper formulates an explanatory model of somatization understood as a process of progressive loss of conscious order. Somatization is conceptualized not as a sudden translation of psychological distress into bodily symptoms, but as the final stage of a gradual cascade of disorganization originating at the level of instrumental consciousness. This model traces the transition from narrative disintegration and impaired discernment (Prajñāparādha), through energetic dysregulation mediated by the Doṣa-s, to eventual manifestation at the somatic level.

No experimental protocol is proposed within the scope of this paper. Instead, the study presents a theoretically grounded conceptual framework intended to inform future empirical research, clinical observation, and applied practice. The framework is designed for potential use in integrative clinical research, in naratotherapy as a structurally oriented approach to narrative reordering, and in integrative medicine contexts that seek to address health and illness as processes involving consciousness, energy, and the body as interdependent levels of organization.

Narrative Disorganization of Consciousness

Narrative as a Structural Mechanism

Narrative is defined here as the mechanism through which experience is organized temporally, causally, and identitarily within consciousness. In this framework, narrative is not approached as a secondary linguistic artifact or as a mere form of retrospective self-description, but as a fundamental structural function of instrumental consciousness. Through narrative organization, discrete perceptions, events, and internal states are integrated into a coherent experiential continuum that allows for orientation in time, meaningful interpretation, and a stable sense of self.

From an Ayurvedic perspective, this organizing function directly involves the coordinated activity of the Antaḥkaraṇa. Narrative structuring contributes to the stabilization of *Manas* by reducing perceptual fragmentation and by maintaining continuity within the flow of experience. At the same time, it activates *Buddhi* by enabling discrimination, evaluation, and the establishment of causal and meaningful relationships between events. Without a coherent narrative framework, Buddhi is deprived of the contextual material necessary for discernment and tends to operate either impulsively or rigidly under the influence of Rajas or Tamas.

Narrative organization also plays a central role in structuring *Citta*, the repository of latent impressions. Experiences that are not narratively integrated tend to persist as unprocessed or poorly assimilated impressions, which can later condition perception and behavior in an automatic and non-conscious manner. Through narrative structuring, experiences are metabolized into intelligible forms, reducing the accumulation of disordered impressions and supporting adaptive memory integration. In this way, narrative coherence contributes to the functional purification and flexibility of Citta.

Furthermore, narrative directly shapes the functioning of *Ahaṃkāra* by providing a coherent positional framework for identity. Through narrative, the individual locates the sense of "I" within experience, establishing continuity between past, present, and anticipated future. When narrative organization is balanced and flexible, Ahaṃkāra supports responsibility, learning, and adaptive self-reference. When narrative structure is compromised, Ahaṃkāra tends to become rigid, defensive, or excessively identified with partial interpretations of experience.

When this narrative mechanism operates coherently, experience is integrated, adaptive, and conducive to self-regulation. Events are perceived within a meaningful context, interpretations remain flexible, and continuity of experience is preserved despite change. In contrast, when narrative organization becomes fragmented or rigid, narrative disorganization emerges.

This disorganization is characterized by disruptions of meaning, fixation in repetitive or absolutized interpretations, and loss of experiential continuity. Such conditions undermine the ordering function of consciousness and create the conditions for impaired discernment, internal conflict, and the progressive destabilization of the relationship between consciousness, energy, and body.

Prajñāparādha and the Onset of the Pathogenic Process

Narrative disorganization corresponds, in Ayurvedic terms, to a functional form of *Prajñāparādha*, understood not as a moral failure or a deficit of information, but as a disturbance in the operative capacity of discernment. In this context, individuals do not act incorrectly because knowledge is unavailable to them, but because the mechanisms required to integrate, evaluate, and apply that knowledge within lived experience are impaired. This distinction is central to the Ayurvedic understanding of error and disease, which locates the origin of dysfunction at the level of consciousness rather than at the level of external circumstances.

From a structural perspective, this impairment primarily reflects a dysfunction of *Buddhi*, the faculty responsible for discrimination, judgment, and decision-making. When Buddhi is affected by the predominance of Rajas or Tamas, it loses its capacity to correctly evaluate experience and to guide action in accordance with knowledge and context. Rather than functioning as an ordering and corrective principle, Buddhi becomes either impulsive, rigid, or indecisive, thereby legitimizing distorted interpretations generated at other levels of consciousness.

This impairment of Buddhi is supported and amplified by instability in *Manas*. An agitated or inert Manas fails to maintain attentional continuity and perceptual coherence, resulting in fragmented or selectively filtered experience. In such conditions, information may be perceived accurately at a sensory level, yet remain disconnected from broader contextual meaning. The resulting fragmentation prevents effective narrative integration and undermines the capacity of Buddhi to operate on a coherent experiential field.

At the same time, *Citta* becomes burdened with disordered or unintegrated impressions (*saṃskāra*-s), which further constrain the functioning of discernment. These latent impressions bias perception and interpretation in repetitive ways, reinforcing existing distortions and narrowing the range of possible responses. As a result, present experience is continually interpreted through the lens of unresolved past impressions, consolidating narrative rigidity and sustaining Prajñāparādha as an ongoing functional state rather than an isolated error. Together, impairment of Buddhi, instability of Manas, and accumulation of disordered impressions in Citta create a self-reinforcing cycle of narrative disorganization.

Within this cycle, the individual's capacity to act in accordance with knowledge, context, and intrinsic constitution is progressively diminished, laying the groundwork for energetic dysregulation and, ultimately, somatic manifestation. In this sense, Prajñāparādha can be understood as the functional expression of narrative disorganization at the level of instrumental consciousness.

Ātattvābhiniveśa as a Stabilized Form of Narrative Disorganization

Ātattvābhiniveśa represents an advanced and stabilized stage of the disorganizing process described above, distinguished by a rigid and persistent fixation in a distorted representation of reality. In Ayurvedic terms, this condition does not merely involve occasional misinterpretation or episodic cognitive error, but reflects a consolidated mode of functioning of instrumental consciousness. Analysis of the source text indicates that Ātattvābhiniveśa can be understood as the crystallization of a false yet internally coherent narrative structure that comes to organize the totality of the individual's experience, perception, interpretation, and self-relation. At this stage, narrative disorganization has ceased to be fluid or fluctuating and has instead acquired structural stability. The distorted narrative functions as an implicit framework through which all subsequent experience is filtered and interpreted. Rather than being corrected by new information or counter-evidence, this framework selectively assimilates or reinterprets incoming experience so as to preserve its internal coherence. As a result, the error is no longer experienced as error, but as an unquestioned reference point for understanding reality.

Within this state, each component of the Antaḥkaraṇa contributes to the maintenance of the distorted narrative. *Manas* delivers perceptions that are rigidly filtered, either by excessive selectivity or by automatic reactivity, limiting the range of experience that can enter awareness in an unmediated form. Sensory and experiential data are thus pre-structured before reaching the level of conscious evaluation, reinforcing the existing narrative framework. *Buddhi*, whose corrective function would normally challenge inconsistencies and reassess interpretations, instead validates and rationalizes erroneous conclusions. Under the influence of Rajas or Tamas, Buddhi ceases to operate as an ordering principle and becomes an instrument of justification, lending apparent logical coherence to fundamentally distorted interpretations. This legitimization confers stability and apparent plausibility to the false narrative. *Ahaṃkāra* defensively identifies with these interpretations, integrating them into the sense of self. The distorted narrative thus acquires an identitary dimension, such that any challenge to its validity is experienced as a threat to personal integrity.

This defensive identification further rigidifies the narrative structure and amplifies resistance to alternative perspectives. *Citta*, in turn, consolidates the distorted narrative through repetition and memory. Impressions that conform to the existing framework are retained and reinforced, while disconfirming experiences are minimized, forgotten, or reinterpreted. Over time, this selective accumulation of impressions deepens the entrenchment of the false narrative and increases its influence on future perception and behavior.

The combined effect of these processes is pronounced resistance to correction and a marked tendency toward chronicity. Ātattvābhiniveśa thus explains why certain patterns of dysfunction persist despite adequate information, therapeutic intervention, or symptomatic relief. From an Ayurvedic perspective, this state represents one of the most profound forms of disorganization of instrumental consciousness, requiring interventions that address narrative structure at its roots rather than merely its surface manifestations.

Narrative Reordering as a Corrective Process

Narrative reordering of consciousness is defined as a structural process aimed at restoring the ordering function of the Antaḥkaraṇa, understood as the coordinated activity of Manas, Buddhi, Ahaṃkāra, and Citta. This process does not involve altering lived events or suppressing experiential content, but rather reorganizing the relationships among these events—specifically their temporal sequence, perceived causality, attributed meaning, and identity positioning within consciousness. Through this reorganization, experience becomes intelligible without being distorted, and continuity is restored without imposing rigid interpretations.

At the functional level, narrative reordering stabilizes *Manas* by reducing fragmentation and reactivity, allowing perceptions and internal states to be held within a coherent attentional field. It reactivates the discriminative capacity of *Buddhi* by restoring its ability to evaluate experience in context and to differentiate between fact, interpretation, and projection. At the level of *Citta*, narrative reordering supports the reorganization of experiential memory, enabling previously unintegrated impressions to be assimilated in a coherent and less reactive form. Simultaneously, it flexibilizes identifications within *Ahaṃkāra*, reducing defensive rigidity and allowing identity to remain adaptive rather than fixed in narrow narrative positions. For these reasons, narrative reordering is conceptually compatible with naratotherapy**,** understood as a structurally oriented approach to working with narrative processes at the level of instrumental consciousness. It can serve as a tool for early intervention and prevention by addressing disorganization before it becomes stabilized in energetic imbalance or somatic expression.

Doṣa-s and the Prevention of Somatization

The text clearly emphasizes that somatization is not a primary phenomenon but the final outcome of unresolved disorganization at the level of consciousness. The Doṣa-s represent the energetic translation of this disorganization: Vāta expresses fragmentation and anxiety, Pitta manifests rigid conflictual interpretation, and Kapha reflects stagnation and fixation. Narrative intervention becomes stable and effective only when correlated with regulation of the Doṣa-s, through appropriate rhythm, intensity, and individualization.

Discussion

The proposed model transcends the conventional dichotomy between psychological and somatic explanations of illness by reframing disease as a loss of functional order across multiple, interdependent levels of being. Rather than treating mental and bodily processes as separate or sequential domains, this approach conceptualizes consciousness, energy, and corporeality as structurally continuous expressions of the same organizing principles. Within this framework, pathology is understood not as a localized dysfunction, but as the progressive destabilization of an ordering function that initially operates at the level of instrumental consciousness.

Narrative reordering emerges, in this context, not as an isolated psychotherapeutic technique or as a content-focused intervention, but as a structural process aimed at restoring coherence to the mechanisms through which experience is organized and regulated. Its originality lies in the integration of classical Ayurvedic structural models with contemporary narrative-based approaches, without reducing either to metaphor or technique. By situating narrative at the level of functional organization rather than symbolic expression, the model offers a unified explanatory framework in which psychological patterns, energetic dysregulation, and somatic manifestation are understood as different phases of the same underlying loss of order.

Conclusions

Narrative reordering of consciousness provides a coherent theoretical framework for understanding illness, preventing somatization, and guiding narrative therapeutic interventions. Illness is redefined as the final expression of an uncorrected narrative disorganization, while healing is understood as a conscious process of restoring internal order. Within this perspective, therapeutic relevance derives from reestablishing functional coherence of the consciousness. By shifting attention toward early stages of narrative and structural imbalance, the model supports a preventive orientation and contributes to an integrative understanding of health as an expression of order across consciousness, energy, and body.